

Analytical expression for long exposure coronagraphic imaging First applications

Sauvage J.-F., Mugnier L., Villecroze, R., Fusco T., Rousset, G. ONERA

THE FRENCH AEROSPACE LAB

retour sur innovation

# **Global context high contrast imaging**



- Ground direct imaging of exoplanet
  - SPHERE
  - GPI
- Instrument type
  - Direct imaging / SDI / ADI
  - IFS
  - Polarimetric imaging



- Need for dedicated data processing
  - Quasi-static wavefront control
    - A posteriori Deconvolution
- = > Coronagraphic image model accounting for averaged turbulence residuals

# Outline

- Global context : High contrast imaging
- Analytical expression of coronagraphic imaging
- First applications
- Conclusion / perspectives



# Assumptions

- Coronagraphic scheme :
  - Residual turbulence, time-dependent
  - Static upstream aberrations
  - Perfect coronagraph
  - Static downstream aberrations



Long-exposure expression without small phase assumption ?

ONERA

THE FRENCH ADDRESS LAD

## **Definition of perfect coronagraph**

 Idealized device subtracting a plane wave in pupil plane, in a proportion that minimizes outgoing energy

$$\mathcal{A}_{1}^{+}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},t) = \mathcal{A}_{1}^{-}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},t) - \eta(t)\mathrm{FT}^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{u}(\boldsymbol{\rho}))$$
$$\eta_{0}(t) = \arg\min_{\eta(t)} \left| \left| \mathcal{A}_{1}^{-}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},t) - \eta(t)\mathrm{FT}^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{u}(\boldsymbol{\rho})) \right| \right|^{2}$$
$$\eta_{0}(t) = \langle \Psi_{0}(\boldsymbol{\rho},t) | \mathcal{P}_{u}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \rangle$$



Post-coronagraphic PSF with Tip from -1.6 to 1.6 rad





Sauvage et al JOSAA, Vol. 27 Issue 11, Novembre 2010

 $h_c(\phi_u,\phi_d,D_\phi)$ 

![](_page_5_Picture_4.jpeg)

Sauvage et al JOSA A, Vol. 27 Issue 11, Novembre 2010

$$h_c(\phi_u,\phi_d,D_\phi)$$

- Comparison to empirical sum of short exposures
  - $\phi_u$  = 35nm,  $\phi_d$  = 100nm
  - Residual AO turbulent wavefront, Strehl Ratio = 90%
  - Four Quadrant Phase Mask coronagraph

![](_page_6_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_9.jpeg)

Sauvage et al JOSA A, Vol. 27 Issue 11, Novembre 2010

$$h_c(\phi_u,\phi_d,D_\phi)$$

- Comparison to empirical sum of short exposures
  - $\phi_u$  = 35nm,  $\phi_d$  = 100nm

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_7.jpeg)

Sauvage et al JOSA A, Vol. 27 Issue 11, Novembre 2010

$$h_c(\phi_u,\phi_d,D_\phi)$$

- Comparison to empirical sum of short exposures
  - $\phi_u = 35$  m,  $\phi_d = 100$  nm

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

Model validated wrt summation of short exposures

Compatibility with 4QPM

![](_page_8_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Outline

- Global context : High contrast imaging
- Analytical expression of coronagraphic imaging
- First applications
- Conclusion / perspectives

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

• Image formation including star environment

$$i_{c} = \alpha h_{c} (\phi_{u}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi}) + o * h_{nc} (\phi_{u}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi}) + n$$

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

• Image formation including star environment

$$i_{c} = \alpha h_{c} (\phi_{u}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi}) + o * h_{nc} (\phi_{u}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi}) + n$$

- Assume observation parameters almost known  $(\hat{\phi}_{u}, \hat{\phi}_{d}, \hat{D}_{\phi})$
- Companion, contrast 3.10<sup>4</sup>

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

Image formation including star environment

$$i_{c} = \alpha h_{c} (\phi_{u}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi}) + o \times h_{nc} (\phi_{u}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi}) + n$$

- Assume observation parameters almost known  $(\hat{\phi}_{u}, \hat{\phi}_{d}, \hat{D}_{\phi})$
- Companion, contrast 3.10<sup>4</sup>

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

Subtraction of the star image model

 $\hat{\alpha} h_c \left( \hat{\phi}_u, \hat{\phi}_d, \hat{D}_{\phi} \right)$ 

![](_page_12_Picture_8.jpeg)

Image formation including star environment

$$i_{c} = \alpha h_{c} (\phi_{u}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi}) + o \times h_{nc} (\phi_{u}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi}) + n$$

- Assume observation parameters almost known  $(\hat{\phi}_{u}, \hat{\phi}_{d}, \hat{D}_{\phi})$
- Companion, contrast 3.10<sup>4</sup>

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

Subtraction of the star image model

 $\hat{\alpha} h_c \left( \hat{\phi}_u, \hat{\phi}_d, \hat{D}_{\phi} \right)$ 

Classical deconvolution (MISTRAL)  $h_{nc}\left(\hat{\phi}_{u}, \hat{\phi}_{d}, \hat{D}_{\phi}\right)$ 

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **Classical phase diversity**

- Classical phase diversity :
  - 1 focus and 1 diversified image

$$\mathbf{i}_{foc} = \alpha h_{foc}(\phi) = \alpha \left| \mathrm{FT}(Pe^{j\phi})^{2} \right|$$
$$\mathbf{i}_{div} = \alpha h_{div}(\phi) = \alpha \left| \mathrm{FT}(Pe^{j(\phi + \phi_{div})})^{2} \right|$$

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Classical phase diversity**

- Classical phase diversity :
  - 1 focus and 1 diversified image  $(\phi_{div} = a_4 \mathbf{Z}_4)$

$$\mathbf{i}_{foc} = \alpha h_{foc}(\phi) = \alpha \left| \mathrm{FT}(Pe^{j\phi})^{2} \right|$$
$$\mathbf{i}_{div} = \alpha h_{div}(\phi) = \alpha \left| \mathrm{FT}(Pe^{j(\phi+\phi_{div})})^{2} \right|$$

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Classical phase diversity**

- Classical phase diversity :
  - 1 focus and 1 diversified image  $(\phi_{div} = a_4 \mathbf{Z}_4)$

$$\mathbf{i}_{foc} = \alpha h_{foc}(\phi) = \alpha \left| \mathrm{FT}(Pe^{j\phi})^{2} \right|$$
$$\mathbf{i}_{div} = \alpha h_{div}(\phi) = \alpha \left| \mathrm{FT}(Pe^{j(\phi + \phi_{div})})^{2} \right|$$

• MAP criterion minimisation leads to phase  $\phi$  estimation

$$\mathbf{J}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \left\| \mathbf{i}_{f \, oc} - \alpha h_{f \, oc}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \right\|^2 + \left\| \mathbf{i}_{d i v} - \alpha h_{d i v}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \right\|^2$$

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Coronagraphic phase diversity**

- Coronagraphic phase diversity :
  - Point like source
  - Static aberrations upstream and downstream of coronagraphic mask
  - 1 focus and 1 diversified image

$$\mathbf{i}_{foc}^{c} = \alpha h_{foc}^{c} (\phi_{u}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi})$$
$$\mathbf{i}_{div}^{c} = \alpha h_{foc}^{c} (\phi_{u} + \phi_{div}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi})$$

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Coronagraphic phase diversity**

- Coronagraphic phase diversity :
  - Point like source
  - Static aberrations upstream and downstream of coronagraphic mask
  - 1 focus and 1 diversified image  $(\phi_{div} = ?)$

$$\mathbf{i}_{foc}^{c} = \alpha h_{foc}^{c} (\phi_{u}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi})$$
$$\mathbf{i}_{div}^{c} = \alpha h_{foc}^{c} (\phi_{u} + \phi_{div}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi})$$

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **Coronagraphic phase diversity**

- Coronagraphic phase diversity :
  - Point like source
  - Static aberrations upstream and downstream of coronagraphic mask
  - 1 focus and 1 diversified image  $(\phi_{div} = ?)$

$$\mathbf{i}_{foc}^{c} = \alpha h_{foc}^{c} (\phi_{u}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi})$$
$$\mathbf{i}_{div}^{c} = \alpha h_{foc}^{c} (\phi_{u} + \phi_{div}, \phi_{d}, D_{\phi})$$

• MAP criterion minimisation leads to phase  $\phi$  estimation

$$\mathbf{J}(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{u},\boldsymbol{\phi}_{d},\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \left\| \mathbf{i}_{foc}^{c} - \boldsymbol{\alpha} h_{foc}^{c} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_{u},\boldsymbol{\phi}_{d}, D_{\phi}) \right\|^{2} + \left\| \mathbf{i}_{div}^{c} - \boldsymbol{\alpha} h_{foc}^{c} (\boldsymbol{\phi}_{u} + \boldsymbol{\phi}_{div}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{d}, D_{\phi}) \right\|^{2}$$

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **Choice of phase diversity**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Criterion map for an estimated phase  $\phi = a_4 \mathbf{Z}_4 + a_5 \mathbf{Z}_5$ 

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Choice of phase diversity**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Criterion map for an estimated phase  $\phi = a_4 \mathbf{Z}_4 + a_5 \mathbf{Z}_5$ 

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

ONERA

THE PRENCH ASHORAGE LA

22

#### **Choice of phase diversity**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Criterion map for an estimated phase  $\phi = a_4 \mathbf{Z}_4 + a_5 \mathbf{Z}_5$ 

Selection of cross-diversity  $\phi_{div} = 0.8 \mathbf{Z}_4 + 0.8 \mathbf{Z}_5$ 

ONERA

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Simulation results on static aberrations

- Estimation of 20+20 Zernike modes, including Tip-Tilt
- Static aberrations representative of SPHERE constraints
- Joint estimation of upstream and downstream aberrations
- Nanometric accuracy

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

Downstream aberrations can be jointly estimated with upstream abs.

![](_page_23_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### **Characterisation of the coronagraphic WFS**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Direction - Conférence

# **Conclusion / perspectives**

- Developpement of analytical expression for coronagraphic imaging
  - => validation by simulations
  - Comparison to simple Four Quadrant Phase Mask
- First applications :
  - Simple deconvolution, almost known parameters
  - SPHERE IFS post-processing => see Poster by Marie Ygouf
    - Linearized model applied to myopic coronagraphic deconvolution
  - Coronagraphic Focal plane WFS
    - Exact model applied to static aberrations estimation
  - Simulation performance with real coronagraph
  - Pseudo-Closed-Loop aberrations pre-compensation
  - Experimental validations on AO bench
  - Deal with broadband wavefront sensing => Poster by S. Dandy

![](_page_25_Picture_14.jpeg)